
Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Analysis of Students Feedback on Curriculum (2020-21)

Samples - 115

Question					
<u>No.</u>	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor
Q1	Academic content	83	32	0	0
Q2	Coverage of Syllabus in classroom	72	43	0	0
Q3	Coverage of modern / advanced topics	60	55	0	0
Q4	How do you rate the relevance of units in syllabus relevant to the course? 55		60	0	0
Q5	How do you rate the sequence of units in syllabus relevant to the course?		53	6	0
Q6	Rate the size of syllabus in terms of load of the student	55	53	7	0
Q7	Scope for skill development/employability/entrepreneurship in the course offered	69	39	7	0
Q8	How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your life application?	53	48	14	0
Q9	Availability of Text books / study material	66	40	9	0
Q10	Usefulness of test and assignments	71	39	5	0

Feedback on Curriculum by Students (2020-21)




Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Analysis of Alumni Feedback on Curriculum (2020-21)

Samples - 50

Q.No	Question		Good	Average	Poor
Q1	How do you rate the content in the syllabus		10	0	0
Q2	Course content delivery	15	35	0	0
Q3	Curriculum intellectually stimulates you		27	0	0
Q4	The syllabus creates any interest to pursue post graduation/Research	21	27	1	0
Q5	The subject relevance to your job and future aspirations	19	17	14	0
Q6	Program help in holistic personality development of the student	22	26	2	0
Q7	How do you rate the courses that you have learnt in relation to your real life application?	22	28	0	0
Q8	Benefits you derived from the course	24	13	13	0

Feedback on Curriculum by Alumni (2020-21)




Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Analysis of Teachers Feedback on Curriculum (2020-21)

Samples - 43

Question					
No.	Question	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor
Q1	Suitability of the syllabus to the course	15	25	1	2
Q2	Is the syllabus need based	13	25	3	2
Q3	The syllabus/course has good balance between theory and application	15	22	4	2
Q4	Sufficient number of prescribed books are available in the library	19	17	5	2
Q5	The course/syllabus has increased my knowledge and perspective in the subject area	17	21	4	1

Feedback on Curriculum by Teachers (2020-21)



Faculty feedback on curriculum


		1 doubty roomsu	ck on cumculum					
Name	Qualification	Designation	Department	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
S.Ameer Hussain	M.Sc	Contract faculty	Computer science	Excellent	Good	Good	Excellent	Excellent
Kamalakar	MSc	Lecturer	Computer Application	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Good
Dr.M.Umar	M.A, M.Phil, Ph.D	Lecturer	English	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Dr.K.Balasubramanyam	M.Com, Ph.D	Lecturer in Commerce	Commerce	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Dr. G. Sudhakar	M.Sc., M.Phil., pH.D.	Lecturer (Contract faculty	Physics	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Dr.K.V.Madhusudhan	Ph.D	Lecturer	Botany	Good	Good	Good	Good	Excellent
Dr H Akther Banu	MA., BEd.,M Phil., Ph D	Lecturer	English	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good	Good
S J RAVI PRAKASH	M A, M.Phil, B Ed	Lecturer in English	English	Good	Good	Good	Average	Good
Dr M.Sarada	M.Sc,M.Tech,pH.D	Lecturer	Physics	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good
S JAFARUNNISA	M.Sc.,M.Phil.Ph.D	Lecturer	Mathematics	Good	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Good
Dr M Sarada	M.Sc,M.Tech,PH.D	Lecturer	Physics	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good
Dr D Naganna	Ph.D	Lecturer	Commerce	Excellent	Good	Good	Excellent	Good
B PANDURANGA	MSc, MPhil	Lecturer in Chemistry	Chemistry	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
K Rangamuni	M.Com	Lecturer in commerce	Commerce	Good	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Good
Dr.P.Venkateswarlu	Ph.D.	Lecturer	Commerce	Good	Average	Average	Average	Average
Dr.K.Ramalinga reddy	M.A, NET, Ph.D	Lecturer	Telugu	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Good
K.Ramalunga reddy	M.A, NET , Ph.D	Lecturer	Telugu	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
S Vasavi Devi	MSc APSET	Lecturer	Physics	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Excellent
K. J. Vijaya Lakshmi	M. Com. B.Ed.	Lecturer	Commerce	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Dr Mohammed Waaiz	MSc PhD	Lecturer in Physics	Physics	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Good	Excellent
Dr M.Sarada	M.Sc,M.Tech,pH D	Lecturer in physics	Higher education	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
Dr. Diwakar Divya Divyan	Ph.D.	Lecturer	Hindi	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Average
K Rangamuni	M.com , B.Ed	Lecturer in commerce	Commerce	Good	Good	Excellent	Average	Excellent
Dharmender Pallerla	M.Sc	Degree Lecturer	Chemistry	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor
SATYANARAYANA AKUL	M.Sc, M. Phil, M.Ed	Lecturer in Chemistry	Chemistry	Good	Average	Poor	Good	Average
DR.R.KAMLI NAIK	M.Sc.B.Ed.Ph.D	LECTURER	ZOOLOGY	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Excellent
Dr.M.Umar	M.A, M.Phil, Ph.D	Lecturer	English	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
A VENKTASWAMY	MA.B.Ed.	Lecturer In History	History	Good	Good	Good	Average	Good
B. SUJATHA	M. Sc, B. Ed	Lecturer in Zoology	ZOOLOGY	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Excellent	Excellent
J. Masthani	M.Com,B.Ed,(P.hd)	Lecturer	Commerce	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
G Sreenivas	MSc NET	Lecturer	Chemistry	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
Ch Rajkumar	M.com, bed net.	Lecturer	Commerce	Good	Good	Excellent	Good	Good
Adinarayana Reddy Rekk	M.Sc.M.Ed.	Lecturer in chemistry	Chemistry	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
Ramu Dasari	M.A.,M.Ed.	Lecturer in Telugu	Telugu	Poor	Poor	Average	Average	Average
Dr D Naganna	M Com Ph. D	Lecturer	Commerce	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Good
DANDUGULA MADHU S	M.Sc.,,B.Ed	Lecturer	Botany	Excellent	Good	Good	Excellent	Good
SHAIK BASHA MOHIDDI	M. Sc. , B. Ed.	Lecturer	Dept. of Chemistry	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
Dr.K.Balasubramanyam	M.Com.,Ph.D	Lecturer in Commerce	Commerce	Good	Good	Average	Good	Good
G.Hemaiatha	MSc n MA	Lecturer in Microbiology	Microbiology	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Excellent
Moram Sunil Kumar Red	M.Tech	Lecturer	Computer Science	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Good
JHEMANTH	M.Sc.,M.Phil.,	Lecturer in Physics	Physics	Good	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Good
M. Zahir Ahmed	M. Sc. Ph.D.	Lecturer	Physics	Good	Good	Good	Good	Excellent
S.Jafarunnisa	M.Sc. M.Phil.,Ph.D	Lecturer in Mathematics	Mathematics	Good	Good	Good	Good	Excellent
			Total No. Excellent	15	13	15	19	17
			Total No. Good	25	25	22	17	21
			Total No. Average	1	3	4	5	4
			Total No. Poor	2	2	2	2	1


Internal Quality Assurance Cell


Analysis of Employers Feedback on Curriculum (2020-21)

Samples - 2

Question No.	Question	Meets the employers requirement	Satisfactory	Need to improve
Q1	Theoretical and practical applications of curriculum	1	1	0
Q2	Technical skills for employability	0	2	0
Q3	Creativity and innovation	0	0	2
Q4	Relevance of curriculum	1	0	1
Q5	Communication and soft skills	0	1	1







General analysis of Feedback on curriculum in terms of percentage

Stake holder	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor
Students	56	40	04	
Alumni	47	45	08	
Teachers	37	51	08	04
	Meets the employers requirement	Satisfactory	Need to be improved	
Employers	20	40	40	